Reduction of Fluoropentaamminecobalt(111) by 1-Ethoxyethyl and 2-Hydroxy-2-propyl Radicals: A Kinetic Competition Study

RON C. McHATTON and JAMES H. ESPENSON'

Received June 1, 1982

Kinetic competition experiments on the indicated reactions were conducted at 25 \degree C and μ = 1.00 M. The rate constants are as follows: \cdot C(CH₃)₂OH, 2.2 \times 10⁶ M⁻¹ s⁻¹; \cdot CH(CH₃)OC₂H₅, 1.1 \times 10⁶ M⁻¹ s⁻¹. The independently known rate constants for the competing reagents $(Co(en)_3)^3$ ⁺ and $Co(NH_3)_5Cl^{2+}$, respectively) were used in the evaluation of the results.

Introduction

We recently reported the coupling reactions of benzylaquocobaloxime¹ with the radicals $\cdot \tilde{C}(CH_3)_2OH$ and $\cdot CH$ - $(CH_3)OC_2H_5^2$ (eq 1). The determination of the rate constants
PhCH₂Co(dmgH)₂OH₂ + R. \rightarrow

$$
\begin{array}{l}\n\text{PhCH}_2\text{Co(dmgH)}_2\text{OH}_2 + \text{R} \cdot \rightarrow \\
\text{PhCH}_2\text{R} + \text{Co(dmgH)}_2\text{OH}_2 \ (1)\n\end{array}
$$

for these reactions depends upon the competition for the free radical between the organocobaloxime and a suitably chosen second reagent whose rate constant with the radical is known. It is also necessary that this reagent react rapidly with Cr- (aq)²⁺. The latter requirement exists because $(H_2O)_5CrR^{2+}$ complexes-species whose homolytic cleavage is promoted by selected oxidizing agents³—serve as the source of free radicals in many of the experiments concerning eq 1.

Likely competing reagents are the halopentaamminecobalt(III) complexes, $Co(NH_3)_5X^{2+}$, where X = Cl, Br, and F. The chloro and bromo complexes, whose rate constants for reduction by \cdot CH(CH₃)OC₂H₅ radical have previously been determined by the pulse radiolysis technique,⁴ proved too reactive to be useful in competition with benzyl(aquo)cobaloxime.

A pulse radiolytically determined value for the rate constant for reduction of $Co(NH_3)_5F^{2+}$ by either $\cdot C(CH_3)_2OH$ or \cdot CH(CH₃)OC₂H₅ radical is lacking. It seemed likely, however, that these values are below $\sim 3 \times 10^6$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹, which is the approximate lower limit for the reaction of any aliphatic radical studied by pulse radiolysis (considering the detection limits and the rate constants for radical self-reaction). Hence a nonradiolytic method based upon competition kinetics was developed to determine the rate constants for reduction of $Co(NH_3)$ _s F^{2+} by $\cdot C(CH_3)$ ₂OH and $\cdot CH(CH_3)OC_2H_5$ radicals. Moreover, the mechanism of reduction of metal complexes by aliphatic radicals is of considerable interest in its own right and provided a second motivation for this **work.**

Experimental Section

¹¹17.

Materials. The organochromium complexes $(H_2O)_5CrC$ - $(CH_3)_2OH^{2+}$ and $(H_2O)_5CrCH(CH_3)OC_2H_5^{2+}$ were prepared by literature procedures.^{3,5} The complex derived from 2-propanol was prepared in situ in the presence of a small but known excess of Cr2+ (to retard decomposition prior to the initiation of homolysis by addition of the cobalt complex) and used immediately. Because of its longer lifetime in solution,⁵ solutions of $(H_2O)_5C \cdot CH(CH_3)OC_2H_5^{2+}$ were chromatographically purified by elution from an air-free column of Sephadex $C-25$ ion-exchange resin in the Na⁺ form.

- Cobaloxime is the trivial name given to **bis(dimethylg1yoximato)cobalt** complexes (Schrauzer, G. N. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 1968, *1*,97), designated Co(dmgH₎₂, where dmgH⁻ is the monoanion of dimethylglyoxime (2,3-butanedione dioxime).
- McHatton, R. C.; Espenson, J. H.; BakaE, **A.** *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* 1982, *104,* 3531.
- (3) Kirker, G. W.; Bakač, A.; Espenson, J. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1982, 104, 1249.
- Cohen, H.; Meyerstein, D. J. *Chem SOC., Dafron Trans.* **1977,** 1056. Schmidt, W.; Swinehart, J. H.; Taube, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1971,93,** (5)

The perchlorate salts $[Co(NH_3)_5F] (ClO_4)_2$, $[Co(NH_3)_5Cl] (ClO_4)_2$, and $[Co(en)_3]$ (ClO₄), were prepared by literature methods. Solid $[Co(NH₃)₅F](ClO₄)₂$ was found to contain a slight, but nonetheless appreciable, quantity of free fluoride ion. Repeated recrystallizations from perchloric acid and washes with copious quantitites of 9:l MeOH-H20 **containing** 0.01 M HClO, were used to reduce this value as much as possible. The highest purity $[Co(NH₃)₅F](ClO₄)₂$ obtained contained ~0.5% free F, which was applied as a correction to the **F** concentrations determined at the end of the reaction.

Fluoride Determination. Concentrations of free fluoride ions at the end of the reaction were determined with use of a method⁶ based on the $Zr(IV)$ -SPADNS complex.⁷ The reactions were carried out under rigorously oxygen-free conditions at 25.0 °C with use of lithium perchlorate to adjust the ionic strength to 1.0 M. After reaction a measured volume of the solution was passed through a column of Dowex **50W-X8** cation-exchange resin in the H+ form, with prior dilution at high [H']. Dilution avoids the elution of the metal complexes from the resin and eliminates any pH effect on the disulfonate ligand SPADNS and its complexation with Zr(1V). Spectrophotometric measurements associated with the procedure⁶ were made with a Cary Model 219 spectrophotometer. The method was calibrated with use of standard solutions of fluoride ions originally contained in the same medium and carried through the same procedure. The method responds sensitively to fluoride ion concentration in the range 10^{-5} – (3×10^{-4}) M, although the nonlinear response at the higher concentrations required use of a calibration curve. The noninterference of $(H₂O)₅CrF²⁺$, provided it was first removed by ion-exchange separation, was confirmed in blank experiments. Chloride ions, even at much higher concentrations, do not interfere.

ReSults

2-Hydroxy-2-propyl Radical. The rate constant was determined via competition with $Co(en)_3^{3+}$, whose rate constant for reduction by $\cdot C(CH_3)_2OH$ is known.^{8,9}. The competition experiments are then defined by eq 2–5.
 $\text{Cr}(\text{CH}_3)_2OH^{2+} \longrightarrow \text{Cr}^{2+} + \cdot \text{C}(\text{CH}_3)_2OH$ (2) experiments are then defined by eq **2-5.**

$$
CrC(CH_3)_2OH^{2+} \longrightarrow Cr^{2+} + C(CH_3)_2OH
$$
 (2)

 (4)

 (5)

$$
Cr^{2+}
$$
 + $Co(NH_3)_{5}F^{2+}$ $\xrightarrow{(+5H^+)} CrF^{2+}$ + Co^{2+} + $5NH_4$ (3)

$$
\cdot \text{C(CH}_3)_2\text{OH} \longrightarrow \text{Coh}_3^{3} \text{C(H}_3)_{2} \text{CO} + \text{Co}^{2+} + 3\text{en}
$$

$$
C_0(NH_3)5^{2^*} (+4H^*)
$$
 (CH₃)₂CO + Co²⁺ + 5NH₄⁺ + F

- (6) Belcher, R.; Leonard, M. **A.;** West, T. **S.** *J. Chem.* **SOC. 1959,** 3577. (7) **SPADNS** = sodium 2- $(p$ -sulfophenylazo)-1,8-dihydroxy-3,6-
- naphthalenedisulfonate.
- **(8)** Espenson, J. H.; Shimura, M.; BakaE, **A.** *Inorg. Chem.* **1982,** *21,* 2537. **(9)** It should be noted that while the reactions of interest are characterized by very large rate constants, the overall time scale of the experiment is quite long. The rates at which the radicals are generated, and hence the time scales over which the experiments were conducted, are those
of the homolysis of the organochromium complexes. Homolysis of
CrC(CH₃)₂OH²⁺ and CrCH(CH₃)OC₂H₃²⁺ complexes are character-
ized by $k_2 = 0$ competition experiments occur over approximately 60 **s** and 1 h, re- spectively, and mixing effects are negligible. This situation contrasts with that in which competition **occurs** upon and during mixing: Ogino, H.; Kikkawa, **E.;** Shimura, **M.;** Tanaka, **N.** *J. Chem. SOC., Dalron Trans.* **1981,** 894. Carlyle, **D.** W; Espenson, J. H. *Inorg. Chem.* **1969,** 8, 575.

Table I. Kinetic Competiton Experiments (25 °C, $\mu = 1.00$ M)

init concn/M					$([CrR2+]_{o}$ –		
$[H^+]$	10^3 [CrR ²⁺]	10^3 [Co(NH ₃) _s F^{2+}]	10^{3} [X] ^a	$10^4 [F^-]_{\infty}^b/M$	$[F^{-}]_{\infty}$)/ $[F^{-}]_{\infty}$	$k_\mathrm{X}/k_\mathrm{F}^{\,c}$	
			1. \cdot C(CH ₃) ₂ OH				
0.10	0.350	2.00	0	0.350	0		
0.10	0.350	2.00	20.0	2.01	0.74	0.074	
0.50	0.430	2.00	20.0	2.40	0.79	0.079	
						0.077 av	
			2. $CH(CH3)OC2Hs$				
0.10	2.50	6.00	0	2.50	$\mathbf 0$		
0.10	3.00	20.0	3.15	1.04	1.88	12.0	
0.10	3.00	30.0	3.15	1.31	1.29	12.3	
0.10	3.00	30.0	3.94	1.13	1.66	12.6	
						av 12.3	

 $X =$ competing reagent, Co(en)₃³⁺ for C(CH₃)₂OH and Co(NH₃)₅Cl²⁺ for C(CH₃)OC₂H₅ sample of $[Co(NH_3)_sF](ClO_4)_2$. **C** By eq 7 or 14. * Corrected for **0.5%** free F- present in

Since CrF2+ is kinetically stable for the duration **of** these experiments, the only source of free **F** is reaction 5. Experiments were carried out with $[Co(en)_3^{3+}]_0$ and $[Co (NH_3)$ _sF²⁺]₀ >> [CrC(CH₃)₂OH²⁺]₀. Integration of the rate ratio *(eq* **6)** with these as boundary conditions affords an

$$
\frac{-d[Co(en)_3^{3+}]/dt}{d[F^-]/dt} = \frac{k_4[\cdot C(CH_3)_2OH][Co(en)_3^{3+}]}{k_5[\cdot C(CH_3)_2OH][Co(NH_3)_5F^{2+}]} \tag{6}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{k_4}{k_5} = \frac{[CrC(CH_3)_2OH^{2+}]_0 - [F^-]_{\infty}}{[F^-]_{\infty}} \frac{[Co(NH_3)_5F^{2+}]}{[Co(en)_3^{3+}]} \tag{7}
$$

expression *(eq* 7) that permits calculation of the desired rate constant ratio. The change in concentration of $Co(en)_3^{3+}$ is given by the difference between the experimentally determined given by the unference between the experimentally determined
quantities, $[\text{CrC}(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{OH}^{2+}]_0 - \text{F}^-]_{\infty}$. The data obtained (Table I) give the ratio $k_4/k_5 = 7.7 \times 10^{-2}$ at 25.0 °C and 1.0 M ionic strength. The value of k_4 is (1.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10⁵ M^{-1} s⁻¹, where the uncertainty includes the uncertainties in its determination by kinetic competition $⁸$ and in the original</sup> pulse radiolytic reference, which goes back to the rate constant 5.1×10^7 M⁻¹ s⁻¹ (22 \pm 2 °C) for the reaction of Cr²⁺ with this free radical.¹⁰ The value of k_5 is thus (2.2 \pm 0.3) \times 10⁶ M^{-1} s⁻¹. $\frac{(\text{CH})^3}{\text{H}} = \frac{\kappa_4[\text{C}(\text{CH})^3/2\text{H}][\text{Co}(\text{CH})^3]}{\kappa_5[\text{C}(\text{CH})^3/2\text{H}][\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_5\text{F}^{2+1}]}$ (6)
 $[\text{Fr}^2] \left[\text{Cn}(\text{CH})^3/2\text{H}^{2+1}]_0 - [\text{F}^2]_0 \right] \left[\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_5\text{F}^{2+1}\right]$ (7)

ison (eq 7) that perm

1-Ethoxyethyl Radical. This rate constant was determined via competiton experiments involving $Co(NH_3)_{5}F^{2+}$ and Co- $(NH_3)_5C1^{2+}$ (eq 8-12). With $[Co(NH_3)_5X^{2+}]_0$ >> [CrCH**noxyethyl Radical.** This rate constant was determined ppetiton experiments involving $Co(NH_3)_{5}F^{2+}$ and Co-
Cl²⁺ (eq 8-12). With $[Co(NH_3)_{5}X^{2+}]_{0} >> [CrCH-$
crcH(CH₃)OC₂H₅²⁺ - cr²⁺ + ·CH(CH₃)OC₂H₅ (8)

CrCH(CH₃)OC₂H₅²⁺
$$
\longrightarrow
$$
 Cr²⁺ + CH(CH₃)OC₂H₅ (8)

 $Co(NH_3)_5F^2$

$$
(NH3)5Cl2+ (eq 8-12). With [CO(NH3)5X2+]0 >> [CrCH-CrCH(CH3)OC2H52+ $Cl2+ + CH(CH3)OC2H5$ (8)
<sup>C₀(NH₃)₅F²⁺
<sup>(H₂0, +4H⁴)
CH(GH₃)OC₂H₅
Ch₃CHO + CH₃CH₂OH + Co²⁺ + F⁻ + 5NH₄⁺ (9)
Ch₃OC₂H₅
|_{CMM₅C}|²</sup></sup>
$$

 $|$ CoNH₃CI²⁺

$$
H_{20,14H}^{(1)} + 4H_{3}^{(1)}
$$
CH₃CHO + CH₃CH₂OH + Co²⁺ + Cl⁻ + 5NH₄⁺ (10

$$
CH_{3}OC_{2}H_{5}
$$
\n
$$
CH_{2O,+4H^{*}}^{c(H_{2O,+4H^{*}})} CH_{3}CHO + CH_{3}CH_{2}OH + Co^{2+} + F^{-} + 5NH_{4}^{+}
$$
\n
$$
CH_{3}OC_{2}H_{5}
$$
\n
$$
C^{H_{3}C_{2}C_{2}H_{5}}
$$
\n
$$
CH_{3}C_{1}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
CH_{3}CH_{2}CO + CH_{3}CH_{2}OH + Co^{2+} + Cl^{-} + 5NH_{4}^{+}
$$
\n
$$
CH_{3}CH_{3}^{+} + Cl^{-} + 5NH_{4}^{+}
$$
\n
$$
CH_{3}CH_{3}^{+} + Cl^{-} + Cl^{-} + 5NH_{4}^{+}
$$
\n
$$
C_{1}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
C_{2}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
C_{2}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
C_{3}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
C_{4}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
C_{5}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
C_{5}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
C_{6}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
C_{7}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
C_{8}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
C_{9}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
C_{1}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
C_{2}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
C_{1}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
C_{2}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
C_{2}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
C_{3}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
C_{4}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
C_{5}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
C_{6}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
C_{7}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
C_{8}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
C_{9}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
C_{1}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
C_{1}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
C_{2}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
C_{3}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
C_{4}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
C_{5}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
C_{6}^{2}
$$
\n
$$
C_{7
$$

$$
\frac{C_0(NH_3)_{5}Cl^{2} (+5H^{2})}{CrCl^{2}} + C_0^{2+} + 5NH_4^{+} (12)
$$

 $(CH_3)OC_2H_5^{2+}$ ₀, the rate ratio (eq 13) yields, upon integration, *eq* 14. The quantity [Cl-1, was taken as the difference between ${[\text{CrCH}(\text{CH}_3)\text{OC}_2\text{H}_5^{2+}]_0}$ and ${[\text{F}]}_{\infty}$.

$$
\frac{d[C1^-]dt}{d[F^-]/dt} = \frac{k_{10}[\cdot \text{CH}((CH_3)OC_2H_5][Co(NH_3),Cl^{2+}]}{k_9[\cdot \text{CH}(CH_3)OC_2H_5][Co(NH_3),F^{2+}]} \tag{13}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{k_{10}}{k_9} = \frac{[Cl^-]_{\infty}}{[F^-]_{\infty}} \frac{[Co(NH_3),F^{2+}]}{[Co(NH_3),Cl^{2+}]} \tag{14}
$$

Figure 1. Results of the competition experiments for $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)\text{OC}_2\text{H}_5$ with $Co(NH_3)_{5}F^{2+}$ and $Co(NH_3)_{5}Cl^{2+}$, according to eq 14.

Table II. Rate Constants for Reduction of $Co(NH₃)$, $X²⁺$ Complex Complexes by $\cdot C(CH_3)_2OH$ and $\cdot CH(CH_3)OC_2H_5$ Radicals

	$10^{-6}k/M^{-1}$ s ⁻¹			
complex		$\cdot C(CH_1)$, OH $\cdot CH(CH_3)$ OC, H.		
$Co(NH_3)$ _s F^{2+} $Co(NH_3)_{s}Cl^{2+}$ $Co(NH_1), Br^{2+}$	2.2 ^a 40 ^b 300 ^b	1.2 ^a 14^{b} 160 ^b		

^{*a*} This work. ^{*b*} Reference 10.

Figure 1 depicts a plot showing the linear variation of $[Cl^-]_{\infty}/[F^-]_{\infty}$ with the ratio of competing concentrations. Analysis of the data (Table I) according to eq 14 gives the ratio $k_{10}/k_9 = 12.3 \pm 0.3$ (25.0 °C, $\mu = 1.0$ M). The value reported for k_{10} from pulse radiolysis¹⁰ is 1.4×10^7 M⁻¹ s⁻¹, affording the average value $k_9 = (1.1 \pm 0.2) \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. The uncertainty shown for k_9 includes the error in the ratio determination and that attributed¹⁰ separately to k_{10} .

Discussion

The choice of $Co(en)_3^{3+}$ as the competing reagent in the one case and $Co(NH_3)_5Cl^{2+}$ in the other was, in part, arbitrary. The former complex does react too slowly with the radical from diethyl ether to be useful there, however. Also, the pH independence of k_4 in the strongly acidic region was known from earlier work,⁸ whereas it is an assumption (albeit almost certainly correct) that $k₉$ is independent of pH in the same region.

Table I1 gives the values of the rate constants for the reduction of $\widetilde{\text{Co}}(\text{NH}_3)_5\text{X}^{2+}$ complexes by these two radicals. The values determined for the fluoro complex are fully in accord with what would be expected from the trends established from the previous pulse radiolytic determinations. The pronounced trend in k with the variation of X can be seen from these comparisons and, indeed, has been noted before.⁴

It seems premature, however, to conclude from the strong halogen dependence that the reactions proceed by halogen

⁽¹⁰⁾ Cohen, H.; Meyerstein, D. *Inorg. Chem.* **1974,** *13,* **2434.**

atom abstraction (eq 15a,b) rather than by direct electron

786 *Inorg. Chem.*
atom abstraction (eq 15a,b) rather than by direct electron
•
$$
\cdot C(CH_3)_2OH + Co(NH_3)_5X^{2+} \xrightarrow{(+5H^+)} Co^{2+} + 5NH_4^+ + XC(CH_3)_2OH (15a)
$$

$$
XC(CH_3)_2OH \rightarrow (CH_3)_2CO + H^+ + X^- (15b)
$$

$$
{}^{+}C(CH_{3})_{2}OH + C_{0}(NH_{3})_{5}X^{2+} \xrightarrow{(+4H^{+})} C_{0}^{2+} + 5NH_{4}^{+} + (CH_{3})_{2}CO + X^{-} (15c)
$$

transfer (eq 15c). Caution is particularly warranted considering that reduction of $IrCl₆^{2–}$ by aliphatic radicals proceeds by two pathways,¹¹ the former predominating for alkyl radicals

(1 1) (a) Chen, J. Y.; Gardner, H. C.; Kochi, J. K. *J. Am. Chem.* **SOC. 1976,** *98,* **6150. (b) Steeken, S.; Neta, P.** *Ibid.* **1982,** *104,* **1244.**

(e.g., \cdot CH₂CH₃, eq 16a) and the latter for α -OH and α -OR radicals (eq 16b).
 \cdot ²⁻ \cdot \cdot ²⁻ \cdot IrCl₅(OH₂)²⁻ + CH₃CH₂Cl (16a) radicals (eq 16b).

$$
\text{IrCl}_{6}^{2-} \xrightarrow{\text{CH}_{2}CH_{3}} \text{IrCl}_{5}^{3-} + \text{CH}_{3}CH_{2}Cl \qquad (16a)
$$
\n
$$
\text{IrCl}_{6}^{2-} \xrightarrow{\text{CCH}_{2}CH_{3}} \text{IrCl}_{6}^{3-} + H^{+} + (CH_{3})_{2}CO \qquad (16b)
$$

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by the Chemical Sciences Division of the Office of Basic Energy Sciences, **U.S.** Department of Energy, under Contract **W-**7405-ENG-82. Numerous suggestions and discussions with Dr. A. Bakač are gratefully acknowledged.

Registry No. $Co(NH_3)_5F^{2+}$, 15392-06-0; $-C(CH_3)_2OH$, 5131-95-3; \cdot CH(CH₃)OC₂H₅, 2229-06-3.

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 *8QQ,* Scotland, U.K.

Kinetics and Mechanism of Reduction of a Nickel(1V) Oxime-Imine Complex by Co(edta)*-, Stereospecific Synthesis of a Stereoselective Oxidant

A. GRAHAM LAPPIN,' MAURO C. M. LARANJEIRA, and ROBERT D. PEACOCK

Received June 18, 1982

Kinetics and mechanism of the Co(edta)²⁻ reduction of a nickel(IV) oxime-imine complex, Ni^{IV}L²⁺, are reported at 25.0 OC and 0.10 M ionic strength. The initial reaction involves outer-sphere electron transfer to form a nickel(II1) intermediate, $Ni^{III}L⁺$, with a second-order rate constant of 36 M⁻¹ s⁻¹. The protonated (pK_H = 4.07) form of the intermediate, Ni^{III}LH²⁺, forms a hydrogen-bonded complex with Co(edta)²⁻ $(K = 480 \text{ M}^{-1})$ through which a second electron transfer $(k = 4 \times$ **s-I)** takes place to give the nickel(I1) product. A minor pathway with disproportionation of NilIIL+ is also detected. Stereospecific synthesis of a methylated nickel(IV) derivative, $N_i^iN((S)-Me_2L)^{2+}$, is reported, and its properties are compared with those of the partially resolved Ni^{IV}L²⁺ complex. The methylated reagent has been used to investigate stereoselectivity in the reaction with Co(edta)²⁻. Both nickel(IV) and nickel(III) reactions show an excess of $(+)$ -Co(edta)⁻ over $(-)$ -Co(edta)⁻ products of around 10%.

Introduction

Oxime complexes of nickel possess extensive redox chemistry, and there are many reports' of species with formal metal ion oxidation states higher than nickel(I1). The sexidentate di(oxime-imine) ligand **3,14-dimethyl-4,7,10,13-tetraaza**hexadeca-3,13-diene-2,15-dione dioxime $(H₂L (1))$ used by

Chakravorty and co-workers² is of particular interest since it allows formation in aqueous media of a long-lived complex that is formally nickel(IV). This complex $(Ni^{IV}L^{2+} (2))$ forms with oxime deprotonation from the corresponding nickel(I1) complex $(Ni^HLH₂²⁺)$ and is diamagnetic² and substitution inert,^{2,3} consistent with a low-spin d^6 electronic configuration. It can be optically resolved. 3

The nickel(IV) complex undergoes^{4,5} a single reversible two-electron reduction at $pH < 5$ (eq 1), with a potential of

$$
Ni^{IV}L^{2+} + 2e^{-} + 2H^{+} \rightleftharpoons Ni^{II}LH_{2}^{2+}
$$
 (1)

0.94 V (vs. NHE, the normal hydrogen electrode) while above this pH the intermediate trivalent state can be detected. Relevant potentials are 0.65 V for eq *2,* 0.64 V for eq 3, and 0.42 V for eq 4, all at 25 °C and in 0.10 mol dm⁻³ NaNO₃ media.⁵

$$
Ni^{IV}L^{2+} + e^- \rightleftharpoons Ni^{III}L^+ \tag{2}
$$

$$
NiIVL2+ + e- \rightleftharpoons NiIIIL+
$$
 (2)
\n
$$
NiIIILH2+ + e- \rightleftharpoons NiIILH+
$$
 (3)

$$
NiIIIL+ + e- \rightleftharpoons NiIIL
$$
 (4)

The low substitution lability and moderately high reduction potential of Ni^{IV}L²⁺ are likely to result in outer-sphere electron-transfer mechanisms. Molecular structure data^{6,7} on related complexes indicate that no major geometry change accompanies reduction of nickel(1V) to nickel(II), and an outer-sphere two-electron transfer was considered a possibility.⁸ However, reduction of nickel(IV) by well-characterized one-⁵ and two-electron⁸ reagents involves consecutive electron transfer and formation of a nickel(II1) intermediate.

- **(1) Nag, K.; Chakravorty, A.** *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1980,** *33,* **87.**
- **(2) Mohanty, J. G.; Singh, R. P.; Chakravorty, A.** *Inorg. Chem.* **1975,** *14,* **2178.**
- **(3) Heaney, P. J.; Lappin, A. G.; Peacock, R. D.; Stewart, B.** *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1980, 769.**
- **(4) Mohanty, J. G.; Chakravorty, A.** *Inorg. Chem.* **1976,** *15,* **2912.** *(5)* **Lappin, A. G.; Laranjeira, M. C. M.** *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1982,**
- **1861. (6) Korvenranta, J.; Saarinen, H.; Nasakkala, E.** *Finn. Chem. Lett.* **1979,**
- **81.** (7) Saarinen, H.; Korvenranta, J.; Näsäkkälä, E. Acta Chem. Scand. Ser. *A* **1980,** *,434,* **443.**
- **(8) Lappin, A. G.; Laranjeira, M. C. M.; Youde-Owei, L.** *J. Chem. SOC., Dalton Trans.* **1981, 721.**

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed at the Department of **Chemistry, University** of **Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN 46556.**